APPENDIX E

COLLEGE ADMISSIONS ACTION COMMITTEE

Positioned as "gatekeepers" - if you will From College to Industry

I. Recruitment

- Different schools for different students

all have something to offer - "good match"
- Gender specific literature - most felt counterproductive "singled out" gender inclusive (we'd like to see)

- All schools working to attract more women in Engineering

- What is Engineering? literature - women don't know what's available young girls traditionally do not have experiences that lead them to pursue engineering fields ie: "tinkering"

II. Selection

- All act more affirmatively toward women in selection

- Limited number of well qualified women to draw from-steep competition in attracting from this pool of applicants: use strength of college for match - also money (scholarships, F.A., attractive packages)
- Unfortunately, commonality in perception no matter how unfounded of lowering the quality of engineering students despite women performing as well/better than males
- Traditionally male dominated fields-based on male standards women add a different dimension to this arena-all of our responsibility to change this perception at our colleges

III.

- Active SWE chapters, phone-a-thons, mailings, big/little sister program
- More "personal touch" contact from colleges (women are relational/need human contact)
- Financial support real issue aid (federal, state, industry)
- Extensions for financial aid

IV. Retention

- First semester curriculum-"weed out" program-hasn't changed over the years. Engineering is usually the "toughest curriculum" on campus "Building a bridge" from Admissions to Advising

- Tenure decisions, promotions, salary should be affected by advising/teaching competence

Where do we fit in WEPAN

- Need to make industry more aware of our problems

- Upper level academe more aware of our problems-unrealistic to expect goals when other mechanisms are not in place to foster enrolling women engineers
- "The changing face of engineering" students who are getting involved
- What are the criteria necessary for women to become involved in engineering?
- * Need to support programs that reach into our elementary and middle schools getting girls excited about mathematics and science early - so when they reach high school they are prepared to take those courses in mathematics and science that will allow them to continue in engineering and science.

MINUTES OF THE FACULTY ACTION COMMITTEE MAY 23, 1993

The faculty action committee of WEPAN was formed to focus on the environment in academia for women in engineering at the undergraduate, graduate, and faculty levels.

The committee elected Mary Anderson of Arizona State University to be the chair of the committee for 1993-94. The meeting attendees discussed what the goals should be for the action committee. Some of the goals discussed were:

- To provide more faculty, with information about WEPAN and the faculty action committee.
- 2. To work on a directory for women faculty.
- 3. To provide professional networking for female graduate students.
- 4. To develop missions and goals which are distinct from the Women in Engineering division of ASEE and the faculty group of SWE, and to work cooperatively with these entities and others whenever possible.
- 5. To develop information and mentoring so that faculty may work more effectively with Women's Program Administrators.
- 6. To provide information to enhance careers and opportunities for women already in and entering academia.
- 7. To work on the academic culture so that it is more attractive for women.

As initial action items for the committee the following were distinguished:

- Develop sessions for the 1994 WEPAN National Conference which present information and provide discussion opportunities for faculty and administrators to initiate efforts to enhance the campus climate for women, both in practice and perception.
- Develop items for the WEPAN newsletter to solicit ideas and inputs, as well as to provide information on the faculty action committee, on the opportunity for faculty to work with Women in Engineering Programs, and for women faculty directory inputs.

1993 MINUTES INTERNATIONAL ACTION GROUP

The International Action Group of WEPAN was established in February of 1993. In keeping with the two primary goals of WEPAN, the goals are to:

- 1. Increase the participation and retention of women in engineering and, ultimately, in the sciences;
- 2. Provide technical assistance to institutions desiring to expand or initiate women in engineering and/or science programs.

More specifically, the proposed objectives are:

- 1. To provide opportunities for women in engineering, and ultimately the sciences, through international training and awareness of different cultures;
- To provide opportunities for exchange programs for students, faculty, and administrators;
- To conduct research examining cross-cultural issues for commonalties and differences;
- 4. To coordinate activities and share programmatic ideas among countries;
- 5. To encourage international companies to form partnerships with institutions of higher education to further co-op arrangements;
- 6 To share statistics on recruitment and retention levels; and,
- 7. To share measurement methodologies for Women in Engineering Programs.

Dr. Susan Vernon-Gerstenfeld, Associate Professor at Worcester Polytechnic Institution, will assume the position of Chair of the International Action Group. Although Dr. Monique Frize, Chair of Women in Engineering in Canada, will continue to participate in the Action Group, she is no longer able to continue in a leadership role.

A list of names and addresses is attached of all those who have at one time or another indicated an interest in the International Action Group.

WEPAN INTERNATIONAL ACTION GROUP MEMBERS

Dr. Susan Vernon-Gerstenfeld, Chair*

(508) 831-5708

Associate Professor

(508) 831-5485 (fax)

Worcester Polytechnic Institution

e-mail: sgerstenfeld@jake.wpi.edu

100 Institute Road

Worcester, Massachusetts 01609

(415) 336-4063

Ms. Anne Baker* Senior Supplier Quality Engineer

(415) 336-5469 (fax)

Sun Microsystems Computer Corporation e-mail: anne.baker@eng.sun.com 2550 Garcia Ave., MS: MTV 3-01

Mountain View, California 95060

Dr. Marilyn Berman*

(301) 405-3871

Associate Dean, College of Engineering

(301) 314-9867 (fax)

University of Maryland at College Park

e-mail: mberman@engr.umd.edu

1137 Engr. Classroom Bldg.

College Park, Maryland 20742-3011

Ms. Peggy Blumenthal

(212) 984-5331

Vice President, Educational Services

(212) 984-5574 (fax)

Institute of International Education

809 United Nations Plaza

New York, New York 10017

(206) 543-4810 (206) 685-4860 (fax)

Director, Women in Engineering College of Engineering University of Washington

101 Wilson Annex, FC-08 Seattle, Washington 98195

Dr. Suzanne G. Brainard*

e-mail: brainard@engr.washington.edu

Ms. Susan Cavin*

Program Admin., Women in Engr., Science & Technology Program New Jersey Institute of Technology

314 Cullimore Hall

323 Martin Luther King Blvd.

Newark, New Jersey 07102

(201) 596-1528 (fax)

(201) 596-8389

* WEPAN Member

Dr. Kenneth Challenger Associate Dean College of Engineering San Jose University One Washington Square San Jose, California 95192 (408) 924-3800 (408) 924-3818 (fax)

Dr. Thomas W. Chapman Professor of Chemical Engineering Associate Dean, International Relations e-mail: chapman@engr.wisc.edu University of Wisconsin-Madison 1018 Engr. Rsrch. Bldg., 1500 Johnson Drive

(608) 263-2191 (608) 263-0839 (fax)

Dr. Henri Conze HCI Conseil en Politique Industrielle

Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1687

33 1 44 70 00 38 33 1 42 93 70 76 (fax)

24-26, rue de la pepiniere F-75008 Paris, France

Dr. Jane Z. Daniels* Senior Program Officer Education and Human Resources National Science Foundation 1800 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20550

(202) 357-7704 (202) 357-0271 (fax) e-mail: jdaniels@nsf.gov

Ms. Gaelyn Davidson* Committee on Women in Science & Engineering National Research Council Washington, D.C. 20550

(202) 334-1372 (202) 334-2753 (fax) internet: gdavidso@nas.edu

Ms. Lois Anne DeLong* AICHE/Chapter One Magazine 345 E. 47th St. New York, New York 10017

(212) 705-7661 (212) 752-3244 (fax)

Ms. Sarah Dench* Assistant to the Director Women Students' Office University of British Columbia 1874 East Mall Vancouver, B.C. CANADA V6T 1Z1

(604) 822-2415 (604) 822-7006 (fax) e-mail: dench@unixg.ubc.ca Ms. Debra Desrochers* Research Assistant University of California, Davis 9 Erma Lane Davis, California 95616-4814 (916) 752-4636 (message #) (Corps de la Paix) (B. P. 1927) (Conakry, Guinea) (West Africa)

Mr. Tom Farrell Vice President, Exchange Programs Institute of International Education 1400 K Street, N.W., #615 Washington, D.C. 20005-2403 (202) 962-8829 (202) 898-1396 or (202) 842-1219 (faxes)

Ms. Jane Fines*
Director of Special Programs
College of Engineering
University of Maryland
1131 Engineering Classroom Building
College Park, Maryland 20742

(301) 405-3857 (301) 314-9867 (fax) e-mail: jfines@engr.umd.edu

Dr. Monique Frize*
Professor, Electrical Engineering
University of New Brunswick
P.O. Box 4400
Fredericton, N.B., Canada E3B 5A3

(506) 453-4515 (506) 453-4516 (fax) e-mail: mfrize@unb.ca

Ms. Liz Godfrey*
Liaison Officer for Women
in Physical Sciences and Engineering
University of Auckland
Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand

64-9-3737-999, ext. 8606
64-9-3737-428 (fax)
e-mail: eas_lisgod@ccnov1.aukuni.ac.nz

Dr. Gerald M. Gregorek*
Professor and Associate Director
Aero. and Astro. Research Laboratory
Ohio State University
2300 West Case Road
Columbus, Ohio 43235-1949

(614) 292-5491 (614) 292-5552 (fax)

Dr. Jan Harding*
Equal Opportunity Consultant
6 Ullswater Grove
New Alresfort
Hampshire 5024-9NP, England

9-011-62-63-33-27

Ms. Robby Henes*
Director, Center for WIE
University of California, Davis
College of Engineering
Davis, California 95616

(916) 752-4636 (916) 752-8058 (fax)

è-mail: rhenes@raphael.engr.ucdavis.edu

Ms. Carol Hopf-Gregorek*
Assistant Director
Ohio State Univ. Research Foundation
153 Hitchcock Hall
2070 Neil Avenue

Columbus, Ohio 43210

(614) 292-8671 (614) 292-9021 (fax)

. Research Foundation e-mail: maregore@osu.edu

Dr. Gertrud Humily*
Director, International Affairs
Ecole Polytechnique Feminine
3 bis, rue lakanal
F-92330 Sceaux, France

011 33 1 41 13 01 90 33 1 46 60 39 94 (fax)

Dr. Jeanne Inch*
Analyst - University Research Policy
Industry Science & Technology Canada
235 Queen Street - 8th Floor
Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA K1A 0H5

(613) 990-3993 (613) 991-0363 (fax)

Dr. Ravi Jain (513) 556-3119 Associate Dean of International Affairs (513) 556-3626 (fax) College of Engineering University of Cincinnati Mail Location 18, Baldwin Hall/Rhodes Hall Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0018

Dr. Walther Kessler

President, Fachhochschule Munich
Chairman of the Euronational Certificate
Lothstr 34
D-8000 Munchen 2, Germany

49 89 12 65 13 12
49 89 12 65 20 00 (fax)

Dr. Ing. Hermann Lang Verband Deutscher Elektrotechniker Stresemannallee 15 VDE - Haus D-6000 Frankfurt am Main 70, Germany Ms. Gisele Levesque*
Assistant to the Chair in
Women in Engineering
University of New Brunswick
P.O. Box 4400

P.O. Box 4400 Fredericton, NB CANADA E3B 5A3

Ms. Susan Staffin Metz*
Director, Office of Women's Programs
Stevens Institute of Technology
Castle Point on the Hudson
Hoboken, New Jersey 07030

(201) 216-5245 (201) 216-8946 (fax)

(506) 453-4515

(506) 453-4516 (fax) e-mail: mfrize@unb.ca

e-mail: smetz@vaxc.stevens-tech.edu

Dr. Irene Mikawoz* (204) 474-8497 Director of Student Recruitment (204) 275-3773 (fax)

Faculty of Engineering e-mail: Imikaw@bldgeng.lan1.umanitoba.ca
The University of Manitoba

Winnipeg, Manitoba, CANADA R3T 5V6

Ms. Lisa Oliveira* (617) 258-5515 Assistant Director of Admissions (617) 258-8304 (fax)

Massachusetts Institute of Technology e-mail: ladyo@mitvmc.mit.edu

77 Mass. Ave., Room 3-108 Cambridge, Massachusetts 01239

Dr. K. Lee Peddicord (409) 845-7203 Director, Texas Engr. Experiment Station (409) 845-4925 (fax) Interim Dean of Engineering Professor, Nuclear Engineering Texas A&M University System 301 Wisenbaker Engr. Research Center College Station, Texas 77843-3126

Ms. Erin Rooney-Eckel*
Advisor/Consultant
College of Engineering
University of Maryland

(301) 405-3855
(301) 405-9867 (fax)
e-mail: erooney@engr.umd.edu

1131 Engineering Classroom Building College Park, Maryland 20742

Dr. Thomas C. Rozzell (202) 334-2767 Assoc. Director & Program Administrator202) 334-2759 (fax) Associateship Program National Academy of Sciences 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20418 Dr. William Sangster Director of International Programs Georgia Institute of Technology 813 Ferst Drive N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0590 (404) 894-9153 (404) 894-9525 (fax)

Ms. Kristy Schloss, President*
Schloss Engineered Equipment, Inc.
10555 E. Dartmouth, #230
Aurora, Colorado 80014

(303) 695-4500 (303) 695-4507 (fax)

Ms. Cheryl Tracy*
Coordinator of Student Services
Temple University
College of Engineering
12th and Norris Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122

Dr. Carolyn Turbyfill*
Mobile Computing
Sun Microsystems Computer Corporation
2550 Garcia Avenue, MS MTV14-203
Mountain View, California 94043-1100

Ms. Betty Vetter*
Director, Commission of Professionals
in Science & Technology
1500 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., Suite 831

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 223-6995 (202) 223-6444 (fax)

(415) 336-1007 (415) 336-3156 (fax)

e-mail: bvetter@eng.umd.edu

Dr. C. Ray Wimberly*
Dean, College of Engineering
and Aviation Science
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
600 S. Clyde Morris Boulevard
Daytona Beach, Florida 32114

(904) 226-6665 (904) 226-6299 (fax)

Action Group on Research, Evaluation and Dissemination Minutes of Meeting May 23, 1993

Chaired by Dr. Emily Wadsworth Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana

- 1. Introductions were made. Present were 21 WEPAN members: 9 from the Eastern Region, 9 from the Central Region, and 3 from the Western Region.
- 2. Emy Wadsworth recapped how the action group was formed: Emy had written a letter to the president of WEPAN, Jane Daniels, in September 1992 requesting that a division of WEPAN be created to concentrate on research and evaluation related to Women in Engineering Programs. The letter was sent on behalf of 20 additional WEPAN members who supported the request and who, by doing so, pledged to work at bringing research and evaluation professionals into the WEPAN organization. The request was ratified at the February 1993 meeting of the WEPAN Board of Directors. At that time, it was decided to call such divisions of WEPAN "Action Groups". The group has an ad hoc seat on the WEPAN Board of Directors.
- 3. Time was spent brainstorming goals for the action group. Over 20 ideas were generated by attendees. As a result of the brainstorming and follow-up discussion, the mission and scope of the action group and four sub-groups were established.

Mission

The mission of the Action Group on Research, Evaluation and Dissemination is to 1) advocate and support research and evaluation of projects and programs, and 2) to disseminate findings and recommendations based on model programs.

<u>Scope</u>

The Action Group on Research, Evaluation and Dissemination will encompass research, evaluation and dissemination about:

- Women in Engineering and Science
- Recruitment/Admissions/Retention/Curriculum Programs
- Pre-Kindergarten through Professional Career Education
- · Climate (classroom, campus, workplace) Issues
- Faculty Development
- Administration Development
- Programs and Systems

Subgroups

Subgroup 1: Communication among researchers both within and outside of WEPAN. This subgroup will:

• Encourage and provide structures for collaborative efforts.

- · Link with other organizations having similar goals.
- Support cross-university research.

Subgroup 2: Dissemination of findings. Ideas for this subgroup are to:

- Collect and provide abstracts of research and evaluation that have been done.
- Request column or page in WEPAN News to share information related to these issues.
- Seek publishing of, or publish, a book of research and evaluation findings.
- Develop a collection of programs and projects that work for dissemination.

Subgroup 3: Evaluation design and assessment instruments. This subgroup will:

- Provide structure for development and sharing of evaluation design and assessment instruments.
- Seek to standardize evaluation procedures.
- Develop a manual of "how to's" for evaluation and research.
- Incorporate the Quality Improvement Process whenever feasible.

Subgroup 4: Opportunities for funding. Program evaluation is important to funding agencies and should be an integral part of funding requests. It was determined that this group would:

- Provide information on funding availability and opportunities.
- Provide information about how to incorporate evaluation and research into funding proposals.

Once subgroups were formed, volunteers were solicited to serve as members of the subgroups. These volunteers are:

Subgroup 1: Jan Carpenter, Laura Kramer

Subgroup 2: Louise Chiatovich (pre-college), Mary Ann Evans, Irene Mikawoz

Subgroup 3: Michele Fish, Jamie Kelley, Carol O'Connor, Gloria Rogers

Subgroup 4: Louise Chiatovich (vocational education), Cinda Sue Davis, Nancy Holland, Parveen Wahid, Karen Wynn

During the brainstorming session, sign-in sheets were circulated and participants were asked to list their research/evaluation interests. A list of the members, addresses and their research interests can be obtained by contacting Emy Wadsworth.

- 4. Nominations for chairperson for the action group were sought. Emy Wadsworth was nominated and elected by unanimous acclamation.
- 5. Emy asked that an executive committee be formed to assist her in providing direction for the action group. The executive committee has the following members: Michele Fish, recorder, Jan Carpenter, Louise Chiatovich, Nancy Holland, Laura Kramer, and Irene Mikawoz. This committee will meet at regional meetings. Communication of all present will be further fostered through the dissemination of e-mail addresses and phone and fax numbers.

Michele D. Fish, Recorder

Minutes WEPAN/CORPORATE PARTNERSHIPS

Facilitator: Irene Bjorklund

The overall goal of the meeting was to articulate the mutual benefits of WEPAN/corporate affiliation. The group compiled this list based on discussion:

What WEPAN can do for corporations:

- 1. WEPAN can reduce corporate recruitment costs by helping employers target certain schools to meet particular needs; individual members can serve as points of contact for corporations to provide names of students available for corporate employment.
- 2. WEPAN members can serve as conduits for transmitting to their institutions the corporate perspective on what kind of "product" (graduates) corporations want.
- 3. WEPAN members can arrange corporate visibility at universities.
- 4. WEPAN compiles a useful directory of women's programs.
- 5. WEPAN provides a national perspective on women's issues.
- 6. WEPAN can leverage a corporate contribution for far greater impact via its nationwide network of affiliates than a corporate contribution to an individual institution would have.
- 7. WEPAN is a source of knowledge about how women in engineering programs affect women students (e.g., Purdue study).
- 8. WEPAN can provide to corporations an awareness of, and education about, issues affecting women.

What corporations can do for WEPAN:

- 1. Corporations can provide a "reality check" for institutions through WEPAN members.
- 2. Corporations can provide role models.
- 3. Corporations provide a different perspective.
- 4. Corporations can convene meetings with WEPAN members to brainstorm together about issues and to explore new ways of thinking and doing.
- 5. WEPAN and corporations can have frank talks about how best to facilitate university/industry partnerships. Universities need to know how to approach corporations for support for their women in engineering programs. Corporations would share information about their own "landscapes" and also let institutions know how they can respond to corporate needs.
- 6. Corporate support impresses deans and can help WEPAN members move their agenda forward.

The group discussed whether the appropriate focus for corporations was WEPAN or women in engineering programs. The conclusion was that corporations should be concerned about both aspects:

1. Corporate affiliation with WEPAN provides a **strategic** advantage. Corporations play a larger support role, e.g., providing funds for WEPAN conferences; supporting an executive's

time on the WEPAN board; leveraging other corporations on behalf of WEPAN to get them involved. Corporate support of WEPAN has a greater impact than giving to a small number of institutions and is more likely to result in a proliferation of programs for women; education about women's workplace issues for corporate employees; more support for existing programs; and a broader overall perspective both for participating corporations and WEPAN.

2. Corporate ties to a specific university and a specific women in engineering program provide a **tactical** advantage. Concerns are more practical, e.g., corporate access to students at that institution.

The group discussed the relationship and respective goals of women in engineering programs and SWE. The group agreed that women in engineering programs are focused on retention. They provide "nuts and bolts" services, such as tutoring, that help women graduate; they decrease feelings of isolation. SWE is a service organization. SWE has an outreach component targeting young women; university programs then recruit them. SWE has networking mechanisms for women who get through engineering school but who have issues in the workplace; there SWE takes them on again to support them. SWE doesn't intend to compete with or duplicate, but to support women in engineering programs. SWE is now developing a community college link because that is where most returning women students cluster.

The group expressed concern about the small number of corporate attendees and considered whether WEPAN should have a committee to work on identifying and including more corporate issues at future conferences. The corporate representatives said that corporate issues were not clearly represented on the schedule; there was too much emphasis on university presentations about data and research results that were not explicitly related to corporate interests. Corporations need to know what WEPAN does.

The group formulated these recommendations to the WEPAN board and members:

- 1. If WEPAN wants more industry participation, we will have to learn how to target corporations more effectively. Corporate representatives want more consultation with conference planners to convey a clearly articulated, direct message about the advantages of an affiliation with WEPAN. University members alone may not have considered some of these (e.g., recruitment facilitation). At universities we talk about research results; people in corporations talk differently. A "translation" is needed, but the conference brochure didn't provide it.
- 2. To ensure more industry participation, we will need to **structure industry involvement in future conferences**. We should schedule time for frank and practical talk about how WEPAN and industry can work together effectively (e.g., how to frame a proposal to get corporate support) and also time for discussion on cooperation in the visionary, strategic sense.

In summary, there was agreement that future conference programs should balance individual university program needs and national concerns, as well as the relationships between WEPAN/corporations and corporations/women in engineering programs.

Group members added their e-mail addresses to the workshop attendee list, to facilitate communication among ourselves.

--Submitted by Barbara Caretto, Director of Development and Alumni Relations, School of Engineering and Computer Science, California State University, Sacramento