ALL’S FAIR

PHILADELPHIA AND THE SANITARY FAIR MOVEMENT DURING THE CIVIL WAR

By Richard S. Newman
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For three weeks in June 1864, Philadelphia hosted the “Great Central Fair,” one of the largest fundraising bazaars of the Civil War. Hundreds of thousands of people—roughly 29,000 per day—attended the event, which raised over a million dollars for the United States Sanitary Commission.¹ Much more than a massive fundraiser, the fair was part and parcel of a reform surge all along the Union home front. From Boston to St. Louis and points in between, “fair mania” swept the North—in the words of Philadelphian Charles Stillé, who tracked the progress of sanitary festivals during the war.² Between the fall of 1863, when Chicago inaugurated the idea, and the summer of 1864, when Philadelphia and Pittsburgh held almost simultaneous events, sanitary fairs became perhaps the most enduring symbol of home-front mobilization.
No city held a more impressive fair than Philadelphia. Looking back after the war, Jane Hoge, a Chicagoan partly responsible for the first sanitary fair, noted that Philadelphia deserved to sponsor the longest, most elaborate, and in many ways most important fair. After all, the City of Brotherly Love was the republic’s founding city, and it had long remained a vital center of art, benevolence, commerce, and medicine. With its Great Central Fair, Philadelphia staged an exhibition whose aesthetic qualities matched its grand history.3

At the same time, the fair also became a living embodiment of Civil War tensions. While the fair’s very success depended on women, some men worried that women had overstepped their bounds. More ominously, the Great Central Fair marginalized abolitionists, extending nary an invitation to racial reformers who might have spoken about the great emancipation war—certainly a strange thing in the birthplace of the American anti-slavery movement. Like the war itself, the fair was grand but not always consistent.

Philadelphia’s sanitary fair took shape against a backdrop of Union-wide civic mobilization. As battle loomed in June 1861, benevolent men and women met with army officials and politicians about creating a civilian commission that would tend to sanitary matters in both soldiers’ camps and hospitals. Noting that the British experience in the Crimean War had illustrated the perils of infection, disease, and soldiers’ ill-health, sanitary reformers pledged to monitor warriors’ well-being and recovery. The U.S. Sanitary Commission was born.

With local and state auxiliaries spreading throughout the Union over the next two years, the Sanitary Commission became perhaps the largest single benevolent organization the United States had ever seen. The Philadelphia branch was a vital link in the chain, raising money and spreading the word about wounded soldiers’ needs. In a February 1863 visit to the city, Henry Bellows, head of the Sanitary Commission, touted Philadelphia’s key role in the battle for soldiers’ health.4 The exigencies of war pressed hard on Union soldiers and their families, he maintained, who required ever more support beyond the battlefield. Bellows asked Philadelphia, like other Union strongholds, to give more. The following year, when Union armies bogged down outside of the Confederate capital of Richmond, sanitary officers echoed Bellows’s call for more goods, money, and aid from the home front.

Beyond normal fundraising efforts, what could citizens do? One answer had already come from Chicago, where a group of women organized a sanitary fair in the fall of 1863. Drawing on women’s long tradition of holding anti-slavery and sewing fairs, female Chicagoans raised thousands of dollars for the Sanitary Commission, proving in the process that fairs were more than leisure diversions: they could be potent vehicles of voluntary benevolence during wartime. Others cities soon followed suit.5

Indeed, bolstered by a friendly spirit of boosterism, Union cities began competing with one another to raise the most money and supplies. Boston, Cincinnati, and New York all bragged that they had outdone other cities. New York’s success galled Philadelphians. With New Yorkers “running almost wild” about their “Metropolitan Fair” (which raised more money than any exhibition), a Philadelphia paper challenged locals to rise above Gotham as a sanitary capital.6 Even when the Great Central Fair began taking shape in the winter of 1864, civic leaders goaded Philadelphians to action by referring to other successful bazaars. Calling out to every Philadelphian from the press to the pulpit and the farm to the factory, fair organizers asked local citizens to do something heroic for the Union soldier.7

Once planning for the event began, Philadelphians responded with a sense of vigor and purpose. But launching the Philadelphia fair was no simple matter. In January 1864,
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there was still no broad agreement on staging such an exhibition. A key push came from a delegation of Chicago women, who worked with local women to bring Philadelphia around. Soon after, an executive committee of worthies took shape, headed by banker John Welch. By March, planning was well under way, with a flurry of handbills, newspaper articles, speeches, and circular letters touting the fair as the most important nonmilitary event of the summer. Exploiting Philadelphians’ longstanding commitment to charity, fair organizers asked citizens to do more than merely remember the men on the battlefield. As a handbill aimed at “Florists, Horticulturalists and Seedmen” put it, all citizens should donate funds to aid “our brave soldiers” who sacrificed their lives to “protect our home.”

Despite such broad support, women remained perhaps the fair’s most committed organizers, planners, and logisticians. Nevertheless, the idea that women would rise to the level of co-organizers of the Great Central Fair was a sticky issue. Stillé observed that the mere concept of establishing “the ladies committee” proved to be a “delicate” matter. Some men worried that women would transcend their normal (read domestic) sphere. This dispute harkened back to the very origins of the Sanitary Commission. When women approached Northern leaders in 1861 about spearheading sanitary reform, doctors in the Army Medical Bureau thought women might meddle in the serious business of medicine and war.

Without women, however, sanitary reform would have fallen flat. By 1864, women’s home-front heroism was heralded from New York to St. Louis. In Philadelphia, women ran refreshment saloons, worked at hospitals, raised funds, and helped outfit sanitary trains, wagons, and ships destined for the battlefield. Validation of their essential role came from no less a figure than Henry Bellows, who hailed women as indispensable sanitary reformers.

With that thought in mind, Stillé applauded a decision by fair organizers to let women serve as co-chairs of many committees. The official term was “cooperation,” meaning that men supervised men, and women supervised women. Thus, Mrs. John Cresson provided “energetic management” to the “Department of Labor, Income and Revenue,” headed by a man and a woman, raised roughly a quarter million dollars during the fair—one of the event’s largest single revenue sources. Two of nine members of the “Committee of Organization”—a subcommittee whose goal was to induce everyone in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware to donate one day’s wages to the fair—were women. The “Editorial
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Committee,” which helped produce Our Daily Fare, comprised 20 people, divided evenly between men and women. “They performed their duty, if we are to judge by the results, with great discrimination and judgment,” Stillé wrote in a nod both to women’s contributions and their savvy way of handling controversies over wartime work.13

Cooperative leadership signified more than a compromise over gender relations. It also highlighted the concept of national unity. Hovering over the various planning meetings, circular letters, proclamations, and reports leading up to the fair was the ghost of disunion and discord. Though recognizing that political disagreements were endemic to democracy, fair planners sought to distinguish politics from patriotism. Thus, the fair took shape “in the interest of no party, radical or conservative, Republican or Democratic, administration or anti-administration.” Of much greater importance was the cause of the “national soldier,” who needed nourishment, medical supplies, and copious amounts of love, aid, and comfort. Fair organizers asked all those dedicated to Union soldiers to put aside political differences and join the cause.14

When the exhibition opened, the fairgrounds themselves became a monument to national unity. Laid out in Logan Square, the grounds offered a commodious home to the weeks-long sanitary bazaar. As Jane Hoge observed, Logan Square provided “a spacious public park, whose broad walks seem to have been created for the buildings of the fair, and whose lofty forest trees lent their leafy branches for ornament and shade to the waiting and departing multitudes.”15 Hoge delighted in the pleasing “aesthetic” environment of the Philadelphia fair. The layout underscored the importance of cleanliness, beauty, and both bodily and civic health. Indeed, where other fairs had utilized churches, lecture halls, and various makeshift buildings, the Great Central Fair arose as a gleaming new city. Local architects and builders had hastily created dozens of new structures, walkways, and thoroughfares. An impressed Hoge stayed 10 days and walked away dazzled. In its voluntary “vastness, its completeness, and its unity,” the Philadelphia fair was a “living embodiment of the zeal of a patriotic people for its ideal—a government of universal freedom, of civil and religious liberty.”16

Art, agriculture, commerce, education—these building blocks of American liberty found representation in the very layout of the fair. Arranged as a square grid, bounded by Race and Vine at the southern and northern ends and by 18th and 19th Streets on the east and west, the fairgrounds contained roughly 200,000 million square feet of exhibit space and promenades. In the middle, the Horticultural and Restaurant Departments provided pleasing diversions, while the edges of the square contained an art gallery, Children’s Department, Machinery Department, cabinetry and furniture displays, and a bevy of other sections testifying to the Union’s productive might. The avenues bisecting the fairgrounds featured a seemingly endless array of tables with donated goods, curiosities, trophies and vases, historic relics, and other material designed to raise funds.

Union Avenue, the central artery, was a marvel in and of itself. Nearly three football
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fields long and over 60 feet wide, it featured a series of Gothic arches that soared above the landscape below. The result was a cathedral-like effect that Stillé compared to St. Peter’s Basilica in Rome. Instead of frescoes depicting God and nature, Philadelphians marching along Union Avenue enjoyed real trees that prospered beneath the graceful arches. For fairgoers, here Emancipation Proclamation took effect and stranger still in the onetime home of legendary abolitionists Anthony Benezet and Richard Allen. But abolitionists were not invited to speak about slavery’s demise, nor did they appear in order to espouse equality. With a network of black abolitionists—including William Still, Robert Purvis, and Octavius Catto—located in Philadelphia, it would not have been hard to find such a speaker. But they were shut out. One distressing sign of the times was the hiring of minstrels as entertainers. In Cincinnati, by contrast, abolitionists such as William Lloyd Garrison were asked to write on behalf of the sanitary fair. But not in the City of Brotherly Love.

In Philadelphia, the legacy of antebellum race riots clearly impacted fair organizers’ understanding of civic unity. Indeed, the tragic burning of Pennsylvania Hall in 1838 and the more recent example of the New York African American women found it easier to sponsor their own sanitary fair in December 1864. This would not be the last time that a major Philadelphia exposition whitewashed race reform. At the Centennial Exposition of 1876, African American reformers were again pushed to the side.

If one set of absences haunted the fair, a significant presence enlivened it: President Lincoln, who visited on June 16. Though planners hoped he would launch the fair, Lincoln demurred, focusing instead on the Richmond campaign and the upcoming
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After touring exhibits, Lincoln offered an informal address. Linking home and battlefronts, he acknowledged both the sacrifice of Union soldiers and the spirit of voluntarism evident at the fair. Indeed, for Lincoln, the fair offered convincing proof that democracy would not only survive the war but thrive in it. By supporting the Union cause so “freely,” citizens upheld that pillar of democratic union: voluntary participation. In a heartfelt call and response moment, an emboldened Lincoln asked fairgoers if they would “freely” support a war that might last years longer. If men and supplies were still needed, he asked, “Will you give them to me?” “Yes!” the crowd shouted. As Lincoln learned again in Philadelphia, voluntarism was a powerful force for Union.22

During his wartime presidency, Lincoln gave roughly 100 speeches, the majority of which were impromptu remarks linking a particular event to the cause of Union. At the Baltimore Sanitary Fair in 1864, Lincoln saluted soldiers’ sacrifice on the battlefield while also highlighting black military bravery; at the Washington Fair, he hailed women’s reform efforts.23 In Philadelphia, Lincoln’s remarks about the power of home-front benevolence followed a brisk tour of the fair’s myriad departments and stalls. After viewing sewing machines, clothing, relics, foodstuffs, and more, he was dazzled by citizens’ contributions to soldiers’ health and recovery. Looking at an army of citizens whose donations were still piling up, he may also have recalled sectional battles of days gone by, when proto-secessionists boldly asserted that Northern mudsills (or average working men) were little better than wage slaves. At the Philadelphia fair, Lincoln found more proof than ever to the contrary. In the troubling early summer of ’64, when stalemate defined the eastern battlefront, Lincoln was cheered again and again by Northern volunteerism and productivity. When at last he spoke, Lincoln honored a mighty democracy in action. Interestingly, noted orator Edward Everett had the ill-luck to speak alongside Lincoln that day (as he had at Gettysburg the previous fall); few people cared to write down his words. Again, Lincoln had captured the moment almost perfectly.
reform: aiding more soldiers, outfitting more hospitals, and spreading the gospel of home-front benevolence through the war’s end. As for the fair, when the temporary structures came down and Logan Square returned to normal, it moved into the realm of civic memory. Though eclipsed by the subsequent fame of the Centennial Exposition in 1876, the Great Central Fair remained a touchstone of voluntarism and patriotic action for years to come.

Charles Stillé noted that the fair was indeed a most beautiful event, one with “manifold attractions.” But for him, the true measure of the fair’s importance was its ability to display the “animating spirit” of the Union cause. Like Lincoln, he saw “patriotism and holy charity” as the “twin sisters” of the Civil War. On the home front, no less than on the battlefield, the Union faithful fought for an ideal: democratic freedom. “Long after everything material connected with the Fair shall have mouldered in the dust,” Stillé concluded, “the influence of the patriotic impulse awakened [in the people] shall remain.”

But so too did questions linger about the wartime reality of egalitarianism—of just who belonged to that democratic union home-front citizens mobilized so mightily to save.
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